

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,291	08/19/2003	Akihiko Taniguchi	501152.20019	4056	
26418	7590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER		
REED SMI	•	FAISON, VERONICA F			
	ENT RECORDS DEPAR GTON AVENUE, 29TH I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	K, NY 10022-7650	1755			

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/643,291		TANIGUCHI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Veronica F. Fai		1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by teply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the provided part of the set of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS C FR 1.136(a). In no event, how on. beriod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 October 2005.						
, —	•	This action is non-fir						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,8,11</u> is/are rejected.							
•	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers	r						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the concept to by the content of declaration is objected to by the							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign priority amount	2 0.0.0.3 (0,	, (=, =: (-,-				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents h	ave been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmon	rie)							
Attachment 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	41	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	0.450)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5) L 6) L	Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PT	U-152)			



Application/Control Number: 10/643,291

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4, 7, 8 and 11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 9 of copending Application No. 10/387,739. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said published claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

"Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,291 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Momose (US Patent 6,695,900).

Momose teaches an aqueous ink composition comprising at least a surfacemodified pigment capable of being dispersed without the use of a dispersant, an organic amine compound represented by formula (A) or (B) shown below and water

$$\begin{array}{c} R_{4} \\ R_{5} \\ N \\ R_{2} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} R_{4} \\ R_{5} \\ \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} R_{4} \\ R_{5} \\ \end{array}$$

wherein R_1 to R_6 each independently represents a hydrogen atom or an alkyl or hydroxyalkyl chain having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms (abstract, col. 2 lines 39-65, col. 4 lines 31-45). See Table 1, compounds 1 and 2 for the limitations set forth in claim 3. The reference remains silent to the curve that represents a change of surface tension of the ink. However, it is the position of the Examiner that because the reference teaches a surfactant (formula A) which meets the requirements set forth by Applicant that the compound would inherently have the same properties. The reference further teaches

Art Unit: 1755

that the ink composition may be used in any printing method including ink jet recording method (col. 7 lines 40-59). The ink composition as taught by Momose appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 6, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references alone or in combination fail to teach an ink composition comprising an alkyamine ethylene oxide adduct represented by formula (1) wherein x + y=5 or 15.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-3-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the surfactant taught by Momose does not the limitations of claim 1. It is the position of the Examiner that because the reference teaches the claimed surfactant that these surfactants inherently have the same properties, absent tangible evidence to the contrary. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1755

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,291

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VFF 12-22-05 ANTHONY J. GREEN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6